

Some notes on the category of p -local harmonic spectra

Jonathan Beardsley

July 22, 2013

Introduction

In [9], Mark Hovey and Neil Strickland determine that the lattice of localizing subcategories of the $E(n)$ -local stable homotopy category is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of subsets of the set with n elements. They also show that the lattice of localizing subcategories of the $K(n)$ -local stable homotopy category is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra \mathbb{F}_2 . Since $E(n)$ is Bousfield equivalent to the wedge of the first n Morava K-theories, it seems natural to the author to ask if this result can be extended to the infinite wedge of Morava K-theories, or even arbitrary wedges. Indeed, it is shown that for a wedge of Morava K-theories indexed by some $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, the Bousfield lattice of the stable homotopy category localized at that wedge is precisely the Boolean algebra of subsets of I . Note that this result only applies to Bousfield lattices, but can probably be extended to all localizing subcategories.

1 The Harmonic Lattice

Definition 1. We work in the stable homotopy category localized at a fixed prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We introduce the following notation to improve readability:

- (i) For $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $K(I) = \bigvee_{i \in I} K(i)$, where $K(i)$ is the i th Morava K-theory. For instance, the harmonic spectrum $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K(n)$ will be denoted by $K(\mathbb{N})$.
- (ii) The localization functor associated to $K(I)$ will be denoted by L_I , except when $I = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, in which case we will write L_n for the associated localization functor, as is traditional.
- (iii) By \mathcal{H} we mean the stable homotopy category localized at $K(\mathbb{N})$, i.e. the p -local harmonic category.
- (iv) For an arbitrary spectrum X , let $\text{supp}(X) = \{n : K(n) \wedge X \not\simeq *\}$ and $\text{cosupp}(X) = \{n : K(n) \wedge X \simeq *\}$.

- (v) Let $\langle X \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the collection of $Y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $X \wedge Y \simeq *$, i.e. the “harmonic” Bousfield class of X .

Remark. From [8], Theorem 3.5.1, we know that \mathcal{H} has a natural structure satisfying the following:

- (i) \mathcal{H} is a triangulated category with a closed symmetric monoidal structure compatible with the triangulation.
- (ii) \mathcal{H} has a set \mathcal{G} of strongly dualizable objects such that the smallest localizing subcategory of \mathcal{H} containing \mathcal{G} is \mathcal{H} itself.
- (iii) \mathcal{H} has all coproducts.
- (iv) Every cohomology functor is representable on \mathcal{H} .

We also know from [10] Theorem 3.1 (assuming Vopěnka’s principle, but most likely under weaker hypotheses) that the Bousfield classes of \mathcal{H} form a set rather than a proper class, hence the notion of Bousfield lattice is well-defined in this context (i.e. we’re allowed to quantify over arbitrary subsets of the lattice to forms meets and joins, so on and so forth). It follows from [8], Theorem 3.7.3 that $\langle K(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is minimal in the Bousfield lattice of \mathcal{H} for every $0 \leq n < \infty$.

Lemma 1. *If X is harmonic then $K(n) \wedge X \simeq *$ for every n if and only if $X \simeq *$.*

Proof. It is obvious that if $X \simeq *$ then $K(n) \wedge X \simeq *$ for every n . Suppose then that $K(n) \wedge X \simeq *$ for every n and X is harmonic. Then $K(\mathbb{N}) \wedge X \simeq *$ so X is $K(\mathbb{N})$ -equivalent to $*$, but X is $K(\mathbb{N})$ -local, hence $X \simeq *$. \square

Proposition 1. *If X and Y are objects of \mathcal{H} , then $X \wedge Y \simeq *$ if and only if $Y \wedge K(n) \simeq *$ for every $n \in \text{supp}(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose first that $Y \wedge X \simeq *$, i.e. $Y \in \langle X \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. We note that, since $X \wedge K(n) \simeq \bigvee_d \Sigma^d K(n)$ for every $n \in \text{supp}(X)$, $\langle X \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \wedge \langle K(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \langle K(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. But since $\langle K(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is minimal and $\langle X \wedge K(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0$, we know that $\langle X \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \wedge \langle K(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle K(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. But for an arbitrary lattice, if $a \wedge b = b$ then $b \leq a$. Hence $\langle X \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \geq \langle K(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ for every $n \in \text{supp}(X)$. In other words, the collection of X -acyclics is contained in the collection of $K(n)$ -acyclics. Hence, $Y \wedge K(n) \simeq *$ for every $n \in \text{supp}(X)$.

Now suppose that $Y \wedge K(n) \simeq *$ for every $n \in \text{supp}(X)$. Then we know that $(X \wedge Y) \wedge K(n) \simeq *$ for every $n \in \text{supp}(X)$ and for every $n \in \text{cosupp}(X)$, that is, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. However, $X \wedge Y$ is harmonic, so, because it is $K(\mathbb{N})$ -local but annihilated by every $K(n)$, $X \wedge Y$ is contractible. \square

Corollary 1. *The Bousfield lattice of \mathcal{H} (which we will denote by \mathcal{BH}) is the lattice generated by the collection of Morava K -theories.*

Proof. The proposition above shows that for X an object of \mathcal{H} , X is \mathcal{H} -Bousfield equivalent to the wedge of Morava K-theories which support it. Additionally, every Morava K-theory is harmonic, as well as the sphere, which is supported by all the Morava K-theories. Hence the Bousfield lattice of \mathcal{H} is in fact a complete, atomic Boolean algebra on the Morava K-theories. It is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of subsets of \mathbb{N} . \square

Corollary 2. *For $K(I)$ and $K(J)$ in \mathcal{BH} , the meet $\langle K(I) \rangle \wedge \langle K(J) \rangle$ is equal to $\langle K(I) \wedge K(J) \rangle = \langle K(I \cap J) \rangle$.*

Remark. The above proposition and corollaries extend Hovey and Strickland's proofs of the structure of the $E(n)$ -local and $K(n)$ -local Bousfield lattices. It is clear that it can be generalized to the stable homotopy category localized at an arbitrary wedge of Morava K-theories. That is to say, the Bousfield lattice of the stable homotopy category localized at $K(I)$ for some $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is precisely the Boolean algebra of subsets of I .

It also follows from the above statements that the telescope conjecture holds in the harmonic category. However, as all harmonic spectra are BP -local, and the telescope conjecture holds BP -locally [6], we know that already.

References

- [1] P. Balmer, *The spectrum of prime ideals in tensor triangulated categories*, J. Reine Angew Math. **588** (2005) 149-168.
- [2] A.K. Bousfield, *The Boolean algebra of spectra*, Comment. Math Helv. **54** (1979) 368-377.
- [3] A.K. Bousfield, *The localization of spectra with respect to homology*, Topology **18** (1979), 257-281.
- [4] M. Hochster, *Prime ideal structure in commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (1969) 43-60.
- [5] M. Hopkins and D. Ravenel, *Suspension spectra are harmonic*, Boletin Soc. Mat. Mexicana (1992) 271-280.
- [6] M. Hovey, *Bousfield localization functors and Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture*, Contemp. Math. **181** (1995) 225-250.
- [7] M. Hovey and J. Palmieri, *The Structure of the Bousfield Lattice*, Contemp. Math. **239** (1999) 175-197.
- [8] M. Hovey, J. Palmieri and N. P. Strickland, *Axiomatic stable homotopy theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **128(610)** (1997).
- [9] M. Hovey and N. P. Strickland, *Morava K-theories and localization*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **139(666)** (1999).

- [10] S. Iyengar and H. Krause, *The Bousfield Lattice of a Triangulated Category and Stratification*, 2011, arxiv:math/1105.1799v1.
- [11] P. Johnstone, *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1982).
- [12] A. Neeman: *Triangulated Categories*, Princeton Univ. Press (2001).
- [13] T. Ohkawa. *The injective hull of homotopy types with respect to generalized homology functors*, Hiroshima Math. J. **19** (1989), 631-639.
- [14] D. Ravenel, *Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories*, Amer. J. Math. **106(2)** (1984) 351-414.